

Appendix 1

Equality, Diversity, Cohesion and Integration Impact Assessment

Directorate: City Development

As a public authority we need to ensure that all our strategies, policies, service and functions, both current and proposed have given proper consideration to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration. In all appropriate instances we will need to carry out an equality, diversity, cohesion and integration impact assessment.

Service area: Highways &

	Transportation			
Lead person: Gillian MacLeod	Contact number: 0113 37 88091			
Date of the equality, diversity, cohesion and integration impact assessment: 22 October 2019				
Title: Equality Implications of Section Main Street, Carlton	n 278 Process – relating to S278 works at			
Is this a:				
x Strategy /Policy Ser	vice / Function Other			
If other, please specify				

2. Members of the assessment team:

Name	Organisation	Role on assessment team e.g. service user, manager of service, specialist
Gillian MacLeod	LCC	Service Manager
Adrian Hodgson	LCC	Traffic Manager
John Mills	LCC	Technical Support Team Leader
Kasia Speakman	LCC	Team Leader (Programmes)

3. Summary of strategy, policy, service or function that was assessed:

Section 278 (S278) of the Highways Act 1980 makes provision for the Highway Authority to enter into an agreement to execute works with any other person (either an individual / organisation / developer) to make modifications, improvements and changes to the highway and for those works to be funded by that person / developer or organisation.

A S278 agreement is entered into between developers and the Council and ensures that any impact on the highway, or improvements required to the highway, as a result of developments undertaken are agreed, and paid for prior to the works commencing.

S278 agreements can be entered into with an individual, but generally they are made between Developers and the Council.

There are three types of S278 agreements:

Mini Section 278 Agreements

A Mini Section 278 Agreement is a formal arrangement to enable developers to carry out extremely minor highway works. This type of agreement covers minor footway crossing works, amendments to paving to provide level access, removal and reinstatement of planters, etc where the Developer designs and constructs the works, but provides a bond as surety. Leeds City Council obtains staff fees for checking the design and supervision of the works and fixed legal costs. This type of agreement is very minor in nature and does not include for commuted sums (payments for maintenance).

Minor Section 278 Agreements

A Minor Section 278 Agreement is a formal arrangement for developers to carry out minor highway works themselves. It follows the same format as a mini S278 agreement but is used for schemes which are slightly more involved than a footway crossing, but not so involved that there is any major requirement for traffic management on a busy road, or likely involvement with statutory undertakers, and the design is not complex in any way. This type of agreement is most often used where the development and highway works are adjacent or make use of the same site, making it very difficult for a separate contractor to be working in the same area, eg re-paving footways, provision of lay-by within a site contractor's working zone. A Minor S278 still requires the provision of a bond but does also allow for the acquisition of commuted sums for maintenance.

Standard Section 278 Agreements

A Standard Section 278 Agreement is used for all other highway works. The works are designed and supervised by Leeds City Council on behalf of the Developer. This type of agreement is used for most significant off-site highway works associated with planning applications. Standard S278 agreements do not require the provision of a bond as all monies are paid upfront.

This Equality, Diversity, Cohesion and Integration (EDCI) Impact Assessment considers the process of determining the requirements of such developments and how this process gives due regard to the equality characteristics. Specific EDCI considerations of the schemes are considered at planning application stage when the principal of the development and associated infrastructure works are approved. A summary of these considerations is included in section 5.

4. Scope of the equality, diversity, cohesion and integration impact assessment (complete - 4a. if you are assessing a strategy, policy or plan and 4b. if you are assessing a service, function or event)

4a. Strategy, policy or plan	
The vision and themes, objectives or outcomes	х

The vision and themes, objectives or outcomes and the supporting guidance				
A specific section within the strategy, policy or plan				
Please provide detail:				
The screening relates to the Chief Highways & Transportation Officer recommendations to agree the highway works required to serve a residential development at land off Main Street, Carlton.				
4b. Service, function, event				
The whole service (including service provision and employment)				
A specific part of the service (including service provision or employment or a specific section of the service)				
Procuring of a service (by contract or grant)				
Please provide detail:				
5. Fact finding – what do we already know				
Process Review				
When considering the requirements of a planning application that will require a S278 agreement to deliver highway works once consent is granted, a pro-forma is completed which considers the following:				
Development type and location				
 Accessibility – using guidelines laid down in the Leeds Core Strategy, Manual for Streets and LCC Street Design Guide (which has been the subject of an EIA) consideration is given to; walkers, cyclists, public transport users, vulnerable road users and impact on services nearby, for example - schools 				
 Vehicular access – safety of this relating to width, radii, visibility splays, crossing opportunities 				
 Internal layout / servicing / bins – shared surface issues. Ability to move around 				

safely.

- Parking safety issues, availability of disabled spaces in line with the Core Strategy and Transport SPD.
- Travel Plan Availability and accessibility of public transport.
- Off site highways works impacts of the development on the surrounding area e.g.
 increased traffic flows, do we need a new set of traffic lights.
- Road safety current statistics and impact on these.
- Planning conditions

These items are considered in terms of the protected characteristics.

The highways works put forward have taken into account the needs of each of the equality characteristics and will aim to meet Section 278 (4) criteria, which states that "A highway authority shall not enter into an agreement under this section unless they are satisfied that it will be of benefit to the public".

The highway works will benefit the public to meet the requirements of Section 278 (4).

Site Specific Considerations

The proposed highway works comprise:

- A. A new priority-controlled junction off Main Street including dropped kerbs and tactile paving.
- B. Informal pedestrian crossing on Main Street adjacent to the site access.
- C. A new footway at the site frontage on Main Street, corresponding with 2.4m x 33m visibility splays.
- D. Reinstatement of redundant accesses on Main Street along the site frontage.
- E. Resurfacing of Main Street to increase the skid resistance on the approach to the informal pedestrian crossing and the site access.
- F. Laying out of 2.4m x 33m visibility splays as adopted highway.
- G. No waiting at any time restrictions (double yellow lines) at the junction bell-mouth and along the site frontage following authorisation of the City Solicitor to advertise a Draft TRO; and if no valid objections are received, to make, seal and implement the TRO as advertised.

The site is within an established residential area, with local amenities and public transport facilities located within walking distance. Therefore, the proposals will contribute to improved accessibility to the site.

Are there any gaps in equality and diversity information?

No, however to reinforce the need to consider equality impacts, an additional equality item will be added to the pro-forma. – completed 2012.

Review operation of equality heading on proforma. – May 2017

Action required:

Amendments to be made to the pro-forma – completed 2012.

Update team in Technical Team Meeting to ensure importance of correctly filling in proforma – May 2017					
Procedure reviewed October 2019 – site specific considerations added					
	r involvement and cor ected or interested?	sultation un	dertaken with	those who	are most likely
X	Yes	No			
process	s subject to the S278 a which includes statutory	processes f	or consultation.	The guidelin	nes issued by
	rtment for Transport ar ssessed, and this involv				
guideline	s and as such, wider coposal is sent to the rele	onsultation is	not required or	relevant hov	wever, each
Action re		vant vvara w	ember for them	input on bei	iali di residerits.
None					
7. Who	may be affected by th	is activity?			
Equality	characteristics				
x	Age	x	Carers	x	Disability
x	Gender reassignme	ent x	Race	x	Religion or Belief
x	Sex (male or female	e) x	Sexual orient	ation	
Contraction of the contraction o					
(Other can include – marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity, and those areas that impact on or relate to equality: tackling poverty and improving health and wellbeing)					
Stakeho	lders				
x	Services users	х	Employees		Trade Unions
	Partners	x	Members		Suppliers
	Other (please specif	fy)			

Potential barriers.				
Built environment X Location of premises & services				
Information Customer care and communication				
Timing Stereotypes and assumptions				
x Cost Consultation and involvement				
Financial exclusion Employment and training				
specific barriers to the strategy, policy, services or function				
The location of a site may affect the type of improvements allowed.				
In the current economic climate, the cost of certain improvements will affect what changes are agreed.				
8. Positive and negative impact				
8a. Positive impact:				
The highways works put forward have taken into account the needs of each of the equality characteristics and will aim to meet Section 278 (4) criteria, which states that "A highway authority shall not enter into an agreement under this section unless they are satisfied that it will be of benefit to the public".				
Disabled users, parents/ carers, older people and children will benefit from the provision of a new footway along the site frontage where none exist currently. This also includes the provision of dropped kerb crossing with tactile paving at the junction bell-mouth and on Main Street.				
The benefits will be fully realised through the provision of a crossing point on Main Street, where it will tie into exiting wider footway, hence avoiding pinch points and linking to existing amenities.				
The issue of obstructive parking has been considered and waiting restrictions are proposed to protect the junction, the site frontage and crossing points. These will benefit older people, disabled people and children by keeping sight lines and accessible infrastructure free of obstruction.				
Action required: None.				

8b. Negative impact:			
All designs will be of benefit to the public to meet the requirements of S278 (4).			
There is a potential negative impact of added vehicular accesses on disabled pedestrians, children and older people as they are likely to be more affected by increase interaction between traffic and pedestrians. Action required: Junction radii are kept to a minimum to reduce negative impacts of exposure to vehicular traffic. Accessible infrastructure will be provided as part of the design, and radii will be			
protected by waiting restrictions.			
9. Will this activity promote strong and positive relationships between the groups/communities identified?			
X Yes No			
Improving and providing inclusive, accessible and safe design at the development site will increase use by the public.			
Action required: None.			
10. Does this activity bring groups/communities into increased contact with each other? (e.g. in schools, neighbourhood, workplace)			
Yes No Improving and providing inclusive, accessible and safe design at the development site will increase use by the public.			
Action required: None.			
11. Could this activity be perceived as benefiting one group at the expense of another?			
Yes x No			
Please provide detail:			
Action required: None.			

12. Equality, diversity, cohesion and integration action plan

Action	Timescale	Measure	Lead person
Add 'Additional Equality Considerations to the S278 pro-forma.	December 2012	N/A	Gillian MacLeod
EIA reviewed and updated by Highways Development Services reps.	May 2017	Update team at technical team meeting May 2017	Gillian MacLeod
EDCI IA reviewed and amended. Specific site considerations that were considered at planning application stage has been added to section 5.	October 2019	Update TDS team and ensure site specific considerations are included in S278 HB Reports going forward.	Gillian MacLeod

13. Governance, ownership and approval			
Name	Job Title	Date	
Gillian MacLeod	Transport Development Services Manager	October 2019	
Date impact assessment completed		22/10/2019	

14. Mon actions	itoring progress for equality, diversity, cohesion and integration
x	As part of Service Planning performance monitoring
	As part of Project monitoring
	Update report will be agreed and provided to the appropriate board
	Other (please specify)

15. Publishing

Though **all** key decisions are required to give due regard to equality the council **only** publishes those related to **Executive Board**, **Full Council**, **Key Delegated Decisions** or a **Significant Operational Decision**.

A copy of this equality impact assessment should be attached as an appendix to the decision-making report:

- Governance Services will publish those relating to Executive Board and Full Council.
- The appropriate directorate will publish those relating to Delegated Decisions and Significant Operational Decisions.
- A copy of all other equality impact assessments that are not to be published should be sent to equalityteam@leeds.gov.uk for record.

Complete the appropriate section below with the date the report and attached assessment was sent:

For Executive Board or Full Council – sent to Governance Services	Date sent:
For Delegated Decisions or Significant Operational Decisions – sent to appropriate Directorate	Date sent:
All other decisions – sent to equalityteam@leeds.gov.uk	Date sent: 24 April 2013 Reviewed and re-sent May 2017, November 2019